



W.P(MD)No.9388 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated: 06.04.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

W.P(MD)No.9388 of 2026
and
W.M.P(MD)Nos.7508 and 7509 of 2026

Teeam Score,
Represented by its Partner Karur Kandasamy Ranjith,
GSTIN 33AAHFT7031M1ZZ,
Plot No. C-30,
Door No.4/148,
Tex City,
Karur Textiles Park,
Thalppatti,
Karur – 639 003.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State Tax Officer,
Karur -4 Assessment Circle,
Commercial Taxes Buildings,
No.1, Kamathenu Nagar,
Pugalur Road,
Karur 639001.
2. The Deputy Commissioner (CT) (GST) (Appeals)
Erode and Salem,
Commercial Tax Buildings,
3 Floor,
Erode.

...Respondents



W.P(MD)No.9388 of 2026

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 2 Respondent in Form GSTAPL-02 bearing Reference No. Z2D330326252242S dated 27.03.2026 (ARN No.AD3303260465067), quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice, and consequently direct the 2 Respondent to restore the statutory appeal filed by the petitioner on 16.03.2026, condone the delay, if any, and dispose of the said appeal on merits and in accordance with law, within a time frame to be fixed by this Honble Court and or pass such further or other orders, as the Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner :Mr.N.Sudalai Muthu

For Respondents :Mr.R.Suresh Kumar
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The writ petition is filed challenging the impugned order dated 27.03.2026 and to quash the same and consequently, direct the 2nd respondent to restore the statutory appeal dated 16.03.2026, condone the delay and dispose of the said appeal.



W.P(MD)No.9388 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. Heard Mr.N.Sudalai Muthu, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.R.Suresh Kumar, learned Additional Government Pleader, who takes notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. Upon hearing the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and perusing the material records of the case, it can be seen that, as per Section 107, an appeal has to be filed within a period of three months. Additionally, the Appellate Authority has the power to condone a delay of up to one month.

4. The filing of the present appeal falls within the condonable period of one month. However, the petitioner did not file an application for condonation of delay and therefore, the appeal was rejected by the Appellate Authority.

5. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondents would submit that, even if an opportunity is to be granted, the petitioner may be directed to file an application for condonation of delay.



W.P(MD)No.9388 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. I have considered the rival submissions made on either sides and perused the material records of the case.

7. It can be seen from the affidavit filed in support of the application that it was an error on the part of the assessee in not filing an application for condonation of delay. In any event, the delay is within the condonable limit.

8. I am of the view that, instead of directing the assessee to file an application for condonation of delay, considering the nature of the delay, the same can be condoned and the appeal can be taken up for consideration on merits.

9. In view thereof, the writ petition is ordered on the following terms:-

- i. The impugned order dated 27.03.2026 shall stand set aside.
- ii. The error on the part of the petitioner in not filing an application for condonation of delay is condoned by this Court and considering the number of days of delay, the delay shall stand



W.P(MD)No.9388 of 2026

WEB COPY

condoned.

iii. The appeal shall be treated as having the delay condoned, shall be taken on file and shall be considered by the Appellate Authority on its own merits and disposed of in accordance with law.

iv. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

06.04.2026

Index: Yes
Speaking Order: Yes
Neutral Citation: No
rgm



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.9388 of 2026

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

rgm

To

1. The State Tax Officer,
Karur -4 Assessment Circle,
Commercial Taxes Buildings,
No.1, Kamathenu Nagar,
Pugalur Road,
Karur 639001.
2. The Deputy Commissioner (CT) (GST) (Appeals)
Erode and Salem,
Commercial Tax Buildings,
3 Floor,
Erode.

W.P(MD)No.9388 of 2026
and
W.M.P(MD)Nos.7508 and 7509 of 2026

06.04.2026