



CRL OP(MD). No.6585 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.6585 of 2026

Vignesh,
S/o.Ganesan,

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Koodakovil Police Station,
Madurai.
Crime No.22 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Dr.R.Alagumani
For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.22 of 2026 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.6585 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(2) and 351(3) of the BNS, in Crime No.22 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is due to a matrimonial dispute between the defacto complainant and the third accused, on 17.02.2026, the petitioner and his family members went to the defacto complainant's house, abused him in filthy language, attacked him and also caused injuries to him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.6585 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that due to previous motive between the defacto complainant and the petitioner, the occurrence happened and injured was discharged from the hospital and also the petitioner has no previous case. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and considering the facts that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and also grant of anticipatory bail to the co-accused, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam, on further conditions that:



[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.6585 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
06.04.2026

tta

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate,
Thirumangalam.
- 2.Inspector of Police,
Koodakovil Police Station,
Madurai.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.6585 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

tta

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6585 of 2026

Date : 06/04/2026