



CRL OP(MD). No.6775 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 08.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.6775 of 2025

P.Chandran

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Devadanapatti Police Station
Theni District
(Crime No. 430 of 2024)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Na.Manimaran
For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 430 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.6775 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / A2, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.12.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c)r/w. 20(b)(ii)(c), 29(1) and 25 of NDPS Act in Crime No.430 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found in illegal possession of 21.217 kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the respondent police has not followed the mandatory provisions under Sections 42, 50, 52(A) and 57 of NDPS Act. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.12.2024. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner along with other accused were

2/5



CRL OP(MD). No.6775 of 2025

found in illegal possession of 21.217 kgs of ganja which is a commercial quantity. He would further submit that there are some previous cases pending as against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the fact that the contraband involved in this case is commercial quantity and the petitioner is having some previous cases for similar kind of offences and also the fact that the petitioner failed to satisfy the twin test as contemplated under Section 37 of the NDPS Act, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence the petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
08.04.2026

aav



CRL OP(MD). No.6775 of 2025

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Devadanapatti Police Station
Theni District
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.6775 of 2025
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6775 of 2025

Date : 08.04.2026