



CRL OP(MD). No.6583 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.6583 of 2026

Prakash,

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Dcb, Dindigul District.
Crime No.20 of 2024..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Ramanathan S,

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no.20 of 2024 on the file of the
Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.6583 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 420 of IPC, in Crime No.20 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused, is running an online company. The defacto complainant and others deposited amounts on several occasions and despite repeated demands, the petitioner failed to repay the same. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that the the petitioner was worked under the main accused, namely,



CRL OP(MD). No.6583 of 2026

Balamurugan and also submitted that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and also considering the facts that the petitioner was worked under the main accused, namely, Balamurugan and though FIR has been registered in the year 2024, so far no steps have been taken by the respondent police to secure the petitioner and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial



CRL OP(MD). No.6583 of 2026

Magistrate No.II Court, Dindigul, and on further conditions

WEB COPY that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Additional District and Sessions Judge, Pudukottai, daily at 10.30 am., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.6583 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be

WEB COPY registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
06.04.2026

tta

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II Court,
Dindigul.
- 2.Inspector of Police,
Dcb, Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.6583 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

tta

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6583 of 2026

Date : 06/04/2026