



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6657 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6657 of 2026

Shyam Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kodaikanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.75 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Karthick for Mr.S.Sivagurunathan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 75 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 311 BNS @ 103(1), 190, 191(2), 310(3), 311, 49, 61(2) of BNS, in Crime No.75 of 2026 on



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6657 of 2026

the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that **on 19.02.2026, the petitioner along with other persons, in furtherance of their criminal conspiracy, unlawfully entered the cottage, consumed alcohol, created disturbance and wrongfully confined the deceased along with the caretaker and others in a room. Thereafter, the accused persons robbed the gold jewels of the deceased and brutally murdered him and absconded from the scene of occurrence. Hence, the case has been registered.**

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the **petitioner is an innocent and he has not involved in any offence as alleged in the FIR. The petitioner is a daily wage worker and he is the sole bread winner of his family. He was falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner's mother underwent curtail eye surgery. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.**

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that **the accused are close nexus with the deceased. The deceased in Chennai, A9 acted as attender. The defacto complainant**



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6657 of 2026

having property in Kodaikanal. To grab the same, the accused joined together planned to commit murder, by stopping his breath by closing his mouth. Due to suffocation, he died. One Murali also died. All the 11 accused are present in the place of occurrence. Jewels were recovered and documents were also recovered from them. Investigation is in initial stage. Hence, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary. The petitioner was arrested on 26.02.2026 only. The offences are heinous in nature. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, that the investigation is in initial stage, as per the prosecution all the accused persons directly participated in the occurrence and considering the heinous nature of the offence, this Court is declined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)
06.04.2026



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6657 of 2026

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Kodaikanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.75 of 2026)
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6657 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6657 of 2026

Date : 06.04.2026