



W.P.Crl..(MD).No.1860 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 06.04.2026

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMKRISHNAN**

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.1860 of 2026

Mahendra Prasath

..... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Inspector General of Prison,
O/o. the Deputy Inspector General of Prison
and Correctional Services,
Madurai Range, Madurai Central Prison
Campus, New Jail Road, Madurai.

2.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Jail, Madurai.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Bazaar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

..... Respondents



W.P.CRL..(MD).No.1860 of 2026

WEB COPY

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records passed by the first respondent in No.853/thaku.2/2026 dated 13.03.2026 and quash the same as bad in law and further direct the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to grant emergency leave without escort for the period of six days to the petitioner's father, namely, Sivakumar S/o.Kalimuthu (C.P.No/6149 (PID No.377041) who is life sentenced prisoner in a POCSO Case and languishing in Madurai Central Prison for the past five years by considering the petitioner's representation dated 09.03.2026.

For Petitioner : Mrs.Dr.Lakshmi Prasanna Komara

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.]

This writ petition has been filed challenging the proceedings of the the first respondent made in No.853/thaku.2/2026 dated 13.03.2026.

2. Heard the learned counsel on either side.



W.P.CRL..(MD).No.1860 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The petitioner has sought for grant of emergency leave on the ground that some arrangement must be made for the marriage of his son. The reason that has been assigned by the petitioner is not a ground for granting emergency leave as per the Rules. Therefore, the same has been rightly rejected by the first respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in the course of arguments submitted that there are sufficient grounds for grant of emergency leave.

5. The ground that has been raised in the present case is not one of the ground that has been provided under the Rules. If there are any other grounds for the petitioner to seek for emergency leave, a fresh representation can be made before the respondents which will be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law.

6. Except giving this clarity, no further orders can be passed in this petition.



W.P.CRI..(MD).No.1860 of 2026

7. This Writ Petition (Criminal) is disposed of in the above terms.

[N.A.V., J.] & [K.K.R.K., J.]
06.04.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
TSG

Copy to

1.The Deputy Inspector General of Prison,
O/o. the Deputy Inspector General of Prison
and Correctional Services,
Madurai Range, Madurai Central Prison
Campus, New Jail Road, Madurai.

2.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Jail, Madurai.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Bazaar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD).No.1860 of 2026

N.ANAND VENKATESH , J.
AND
K.K.RAMKRISHNAN, J.

TSG

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.1860 of 2026

06.04.2026