



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.6480 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.6480 of 2026

Sivasurya,

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep
By the Inspector of Police,,
Civil Supplies C.I.D.,
Dindigul.
(Crime No.81 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Lenin Kumar,

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.81 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent Police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.6480 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 6(4) of Tamil Nadu Scheduled Commodities (RDCS) Order, 1982 and r/w 7(1)(a)(ii) of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 in Crime No.81 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 26.03.2026, when the defacto complainant went for surveillance, they found that the petitioner was in illegal possession of 665 kgs of PDS rice. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.6480 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that the property was recovered and the petitioner has no previous case. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and considering the facts that the petitioner has no previous case and the property was recovered and so far, no statement has been received against the petitioner from the card holders, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate-III, Dindigul, and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD). No.6480 of 2026

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
06.04.2026

TTA



CRL OP(MD). No.6480 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-III, Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,,
Civil Suppliees C.I.D.,
Dindigul.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.6480 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

TTA

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6480 of 2026

Date : 06/04/2026