



W.P.(MD).No.9237 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 10-04-2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

W.P.(MD)No.9237 of 2026

and

W.M.P.(MD).No.7413 of 2026

C.Shanmugavalli

...Petitioner

Vs

1. The Chief Secretary to the Government
Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department
St.George Fort
Chennai.

2. The District Collector
Sivagangai District
Sivagangai.

3. The Assistant Director of Panchayath
Ilangudi Panchayath
Sivagangai District
Sivagangai.

4. The Block Development Officer
Kallal Block
Sivagangai District
Sivagangai.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of CERTORARIFIED MANDAMUS to call for the records of the impugned order dated 12.03.2026 in Na.Ka.P3/239/2025 issued by the 4th Respondent and



W.P.(MD).No.9237 of 2026

Quash the same as illegal and consequentially directing the 3rd respondent to reinstate the petitioner at Koothalur Panchayath and pass such further or other orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner : M/s.Chamundi Bose

For Respondents : M/s.M.Ajmal Khan,
Addl. Advocate General
Assisted by
: M/s.S.Shaji Bino
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the proceedings passed by the 4th respondent in Na.Ka.No.P3/239/2025 dated 12.03.2026 and seeking consequential direction to the 3rd respondent to reinstate the petitioner at Koothalur Panchayat.

2. Heard learned Counsels appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

3. This matter came up for consideration before this Court on 08.04.2026. After hearing the counsel for the petitioner, this Court noted that the impugned order placed the petitioner under suspension without contemplating any further enquiry. Accordingly, this Court directed the



W.P.(MD).No.9237 of 2026

learned Government Advocate to obtain specific instructions on this aspect.

4. Today, when the matter is taken up for consideration, the learned Additional Advocate General fairly submitted that the impugned order of suspension does not refer to any contemplated disciplinary proceedings and is in the nature of punishment by itself and therefore, the same is not in accordance with law. He accordingly requested that the matter be remanded back to the respondents for fresh consideration.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned Additional Advocate General, the impugned order dated 12.03.2026 is hereby quashed, leaving it open to the respondents to take appropriate action strictly in accordance with law. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

10.04.2026

NCC:yes/no
Index:yes/no
Internet:yes/no
gvn

3/4



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.9237 of 2026

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR, J.

gvn

To:

1. The Chief Secretary to the Government
Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department
St.George Fort
Chennai.

2. The District Collector
Sivagangai District
Sivagangai.

3. The Assistant Director of Panchayath
Ilangudi Panchayath
Sivagangai District
Sivagangai.

4. The Block Development Officer
Kallal Block
Sivagangai District
Sivagangai.

W.P.(MD).No.9237 of 2026

10.04.2026