



W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 01.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.POORNIMA


W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023
and
W.M.P.(MD)Nos.8229 and 11271 of 2023
and
W.M.P.(MD)No.7846 of 2024

Manisha (Minor),
Being represented by her uncle and natural guardian,
Sampath, Keelakanji Medu,
Papanasam, Thanjavur District.

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Thanjavur District.
- 2.The Tahsildar,
Papanasam Taluk Office,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.
- 3.The Revenue Officer,
Papanasam Taluk Office,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.



W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023

4.The Village Administrative Officer,
Office of the Village Administrative Office,
Papanasam, Thanjavur District.

5.The Executive Officer,
Papanasam Town Panchayat,
Thanjavur District.

... Respondents

*(R5 is suo motu impleaded vide order dated
19.04.2023 in W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023 by
RSMJ and LVGJ)*

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein from in any manner dispossessing the petitioner and his family from the property comprised in Survey No.110/1 of an extent of 0.28.0 ares situated at Keelakanjimeedu, Papanasam, Thanjavur District by considering petitioner's explanation dated 18.03.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Manikandan,
For Mr.R.Varadharajan.

For Respondents : Mr.M.P.Senthil,
Govt. Advocate for R1 to R4.

ORDER

(Order of the Court was delivered by G.R.Swaminathan, J.)

Heard the learned counsel on either side.



W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023


WEB COPY

2.This writ petition is filed to forebear the respondents from dispossessing the petitioner and his family. The petition mentioned survey number has been classified as “hospital”. The respondents have issued notice under Section 7 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act. When the respondents themselves have taken recourse to legal process, the petitioner has to workout his remedy in the manner set out in the statute. The learned counsel for the petitioner claims that during the pendency of this writ petition, order under Section 6 of the Act has been passed. If that be so, the petitioner has to challenge the same in the manner known to law.

3.With the aforesaid observations, this writ petition is disposed of.
No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(G.R.S. J.) & (R.P. J.)
01.06.2026

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
ias



W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023

To:

WEB COPY

1. The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Thanjavur District.
2. The Tahsildar,
Papanasam Taluk Office,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.
3. The Revenue Officer,
Papanasam Taluk Office,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.
4. The Village Administrative Officer,
Office of the Village Administrative Office,
Papanasam,
Thanjavur District.
5. The Executive Officer,
Papanasam Town Panchayat,
Thanjavur District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.
and
R.POORNIMA, J.

ias

W.P.(MD)No.9089 of 2023

01.06.2026
(1/3)