



CRL OP(MD). No. 6682 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6682 of 2025

G.Leela

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Iluppur Police Station
Pudukottai District.
(Crime No. 57 of 2023)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Senguttuarasan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 57 of 2023 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/7



CRL OP(MD). No. 6682 of 2025

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 465, 468 and 471 of IPC in Crime No. 57 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that since there is a criminal case is pending against the family members of the petitioner that they have collected money in order to give house plots in lower price and however, they neither returned money to depositors nor sold the plots, regarding which, there is a instruction to the Sub Registrar not to encumber the properties. However, the petitioner and other accused created forged no objection certificate issued by the Inspector of Police, EOW-II, Tiruchirappalli and executed General Power deed to third party. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that




CRL OP(MD). No. 6682 of 2025

except this petitioner, all other accused were granted bail. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 465, 468 and 471 of IPC in Crime No. 57 of 2023. He further submits that the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that the alleged occurrence took place on 04.11.2019 and however, FIR has been registered only on 01.03.2023 and till now, the respondent have not taken any steps to secure the accused and even according to the prosecution, the petitioner only obtained power deed from other accused



CRL OP(MD). No. 6682 of 2025

and apart from that there is no specific overt-act attributed as against the petitioner and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Iluppur, Pudukottai, and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



CRL OP(MD). No. 6682 of 2025

WEB COPY

learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

7. Since the matter is pending for a long time without filing charge sheet, the respondent police are directed to complete the investigation and file charge sheet as early as possible without any delay preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(P D B J)
10.04.2026

apd



CRL OP(MD). No. 6682 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Iluppur,
Pudukottai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Iluppur Police Station
Pudukottai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6682 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6682 of 2025

Date : 10.04.2026