



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6465 of 2026*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 06.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

**CRL OP(MD). No. 6465 of 2026**

Rivin

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Nilakottai Police Station,  
Nilakottai, Dindigul District.  
(Crime No.308 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Muthurakkan  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan  
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

**PRAYER :-**

For Bail in Cr.No. 308 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.10.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) of BNS @ 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of BNS, in Crime No.308 of 2025 on the file of the



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6465 of 2026*

respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that **the sister of the petitioner fell in love with the deceased and eloped with him four months prior to the occurrence. Due to the above said motive, the first accused, who is the father of the petitioner on 12.10.2025 at 04.00 p.m., attacked the deceased using a sickle, who died on the spot. Hence, the case was registered for the offence under Section 103(1) of BNS. Thereafter, based on his confession, the other accused persons were arrayed accused and the respondent police altered the offence under Section 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of BNS.**

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the **petitioner is an innocent and he has not involved in any offence as alleged in the FIR. The petitioner is a M.C.A. Graduate and working in Chennai. He is no way connected with the above said offence. Only based on the confession statement of A1, he was falsely implicated in this case. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.**

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the **totally there are three accused persons involved in**



*CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6465 of 2026*

**this case. A1 is the father of the petitioner and he is detained under Act 14/1982 of Tamil Nadu Act. A3 is the mother of the petitioner. The sister of the petitioner fell in love and married the deceased. Due to the above said motive, all the accused persons conspired together and do away the life of the deceased. He would further submit that the investigation in this case has been completed and the case is pending in S.C.No.60 of 2026 before the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Dindigul and now the case is posted for framing charges on 08.04.2026. However, the petitioner has no previous case pending against him. Referring the grievous nature of offence, he vehemently, opposed the grant of bail.**

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the fact that the investigation has been completed and charge sheet also filed before the concerned Court, the case is posted for framing of charges in S.C.No.60 of 2026, co-accused is released on bail, the main accused A1 was detained under Act 14/1982 of Tamil Nadu Act, the accused has no previous case and also considering the period of incarceration



*CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6465 of 2026*

of the petitioner from 15.10.2025, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Additional District and Sessions Judge, Dindigul District**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the **trial Court, at 10.30 a.m., on all working days, until further orders;**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6465 of 2026*

against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)  
06.04.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Additional District and Sessions Judge, Dindigul District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
Nilakottai Police Station,  
Nilakottai, Dindigul District.  
(Crime No.308 of 2025)
- 3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6465 of 2026*

**P. DHANABAL, J.**

TM

ORDER  
IN  
**CRL OP(MD) No. 6465 of 2026**

Date : 06.04.2026