



W.P.(MD)No.9043 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 06.04.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR**

W.P.(MD)No.9043 of 2026

and

W.M.P.(MD)Nos.7271 and 7274 of 2026

H.Syed Ibrahim

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
O/o. Revenue Divisional Dindigul
Dindigul 624001.

2.The Tahsildar,
O/o. West Taluk, Dindigul
Dindigul-624001.

3.H.Musthafa Meeran

4.Syed Ali Fathima

5.J.Fakutten Ali Ahamed

6.Aarifasulthana

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 2nd respondent to implement the order passed by the 1st respondent in Na.Ka.No. 3044/2025/A2 dated 30.04.2025 and consequently, to direct the 2nd respondent to cancel the fraudulent issuance of the Legal Heirship



W.P.(MD)No.9043 of 2026

Certificate in Na.Ka.No.3652/2014/A1 dated 20.03.2014 within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner :Mr.Mohamed Azarudeen Sharof
For R1 and R2 :Mr.P.Subbaraj
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The petitioner is before this Court seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the second respondent to implement the order issued by the first respondent dated 30.04.2025.

2. Mr. P. Subbaraj, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice for respondents 1 and 2.

3. The second respondent had earlier issued a legal heirship certificate dated 20.03.2014 in favour of respondents 3 to 6. The petitioner claims to be the brother of the third respondent and further states that respondents 4 to 6 are the legal heirs of one Sheikha Farhana. The petitioner challenged the said legal heirship certificate before the first respondent on the ground that it had been obtained fraudulently, and



W.P.(MD)No.9043 of 2026

also on the premise that a civil suit between the parties is pending.

WEB COPY

4. The first respondent, by order dated 30.04.2025, cancelled the legal heirship certificate issued in favour of the private respondents. The grievance of the petitioner is that, despite the said order, the second respondent has not taken steps to implement the same.

5. This Court has considered the submissions made on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. In view of the fact that the first respondent has already cancelled the legal heirship certificate issued in favour of the private respondents, nothing further survives for consideration by the second respondent. Consequently, the writ petition is disposed of with an observation that the legal heirship certificate dated 20.03.2014 issued in favour of respondents 3 to 6 shall not be given effect to.



W.P.(MD)No.9043 of 2026

WEB COPY

7. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Index :Yes / No
Internet :Yes / No
NCC :Yes / No

06.04.2026

cmr

To

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
O/o. Revenue Divisional Dindigul
Dindigul 624001.

2.The Tahsildar,
O/o. West Taluk, Dindigul
Dindigul-624001.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.9043 of 2026

HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.

cmr

W.P.(MD)No.9043 of 2026

06.04.2026