



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1833 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : **02.04.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1833 of 2026

P.Senthilkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu,
rep., by the Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul S.P.Office,
Dindigul.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Vedasandur DSP Office,
Vedasandur,
Dindigul District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Eriodu Police Station,
Eriodu, Dindigul District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to consider and take action based on the complaints dated 06.09.2025 and 27.09.2025 sent by the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Arunnithy

For Respondents : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabakar
Additional Public Prosecutor



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1833 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER

By consent, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. Seeking direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to consider and take action based on the complaints dated 06.09.2025 and 27.09.2025 sent by the petitioner, the present petition is filed.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had purchased a Tata Ace vehicle bearing registration No.TN57BV0487 for his work on 04.09.2018. He had obtained a loan from Cholamandalam Invest and Fin Co.Ltd., and was paying EMI to the said company without any arrears. Two people, namely, S.Kumar and K.Surya approached the petitioner to purchase the said vehicle. However, the petitioner denied to the same. Thereafter, they asked the petitioner to give the vehicle for test drive and hence, the petitioner gave the vehicle to them on 28.08.2026. However, they had never returned it back. Aggrieved over the same, the petitioner had given a complaint to the third respondent police on 06.09.2025. Since there was no action, he further sent an representation to the respondents 1 to 3 on 27.09.2025. Due to the inaction, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1833 of 2026

WEB COPY

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on instructions, submitted that the entire narrative put forth by the petitioner is completely false and only to evade his liability as against the Cholamandalam Invest and Fin Co.Ltd., he had arranged the said S.Kumar and K.Surya, who are none other than his own uncle and uncle's son, and had handed over the said car to them voluntarily. All these facts were revealed in an enquiry, which was conducted by the third respondent police, and after conducting a proper enquiry, the petitioner's complaint has been closed.

5.Heard either sides and carefully perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the submission of the learned Additional Pubic Prosecutor that it is a case of default in payment of EMI, it is for the petitioner to work out his remedy before the appropriate civil Court. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed.

02.04.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1833 of 2026



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1833 of 2026

TO:-

WEB COPY

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindicul S.P.Office,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Vedasandur DSP Office,
Vedasandur,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Eriodu Police Station,
Eriodu, Dindigul District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1833 of 2026

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

Rmk

Order made in
W.P.Crl.(MD)No.1833 of 2026

Dated
02.04.2026