



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.6319 of 2026

1.P.Naveen Kumar

2.Thangapandi

3.Rajapandi @ Rajapandi

4.Arasu @ Vallarasu

...Petitioners/Accused No.4,6,7&11

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Jeyamangalam Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime NO.90 of 2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Vikram
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

1/6



For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.90 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 118(1) and 109 of BNS, 2023, (Corresponding Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 324 and 307 of IPC), in Crime No.90 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 16.02.2026 at about 07.15 p.m, due to previous enmity, the petitioners and other accused are said to have attacked the son of the complainant with a knife, axe and sickle and caused blood injuries. Hence, a case has been registered against the accused for the aforesaid offences. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further



submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the co-accused (A2, A3, A5, A8 & A10) were released on bail by this Court dated 11.03.2026 in CrI.OP(MD)No.4850 of 2026 and no previous cases is pending against the petitioners. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) would submit that due to pervious motive, the petitioners and other accused are said to have attacked the son of the complainant with deadly weapons and caused grievous injuries. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. However, he fairly conceded that the injured was discharge from the hospital and the co-accused were released on bail and the petitioners have no previous case except the first petitioner, who is having 4 previous cases and released on bail in all cases.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and the injured was



WEB COPY

discharged from the hospital and the co-accused was already released on bail and though the first petitioner has some previous cases, in all cases he was released on bail and no previous cases is pending against the other petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court, Periyakulam, Theni District**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or

witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
01.04.2026

dss



WEB COPY



P. DHANABAL, J

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Periyakulam,
Theni District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Jeyamangalam Police Station,
Theni District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6319 of 2026

Date : 01.04.2026