



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6180 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6180 of 2026

Thirumurugan

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thenkarai Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.228 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Vishnu
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 228 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 351(3) of BNS and Section 67 of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, in Crime No.228 of 2026, on the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6180 of 2026

file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant lodged a complaint stating that the petitioner had uploaded a video on Instagram holding a knife and thereby allegedly caused fear and criminal intimidated to the public. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature, the petitioner has no previous cases. He opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the fact that the



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6180 of 2026

petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam, Theni District**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days, thereafter, as and when required for interrogation:

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6180 of 2026

Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.03.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam, Theni District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thenkarai Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.228 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6180 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6180 of 2026

Date : 30.03.2026