



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6181 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6181 of 2026

Prabhakaran

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Karur District.
(Crime NO.42 of 2025)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Imran Khan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.42 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 318(2), 82, 351(2) and 85 of BNS, in Crime No.42 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6181 of 2026

anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant the petitioner, criminally intimidated the her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would submit that only there is matrimonial dispute. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and the petitioner has one previous cases. He opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would submit that after the FIR the respondent police has taken steps to secure the accused.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6181 of 2026

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the relationship between the parties and there is no previous case and the investigation is almost completed, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Additional Mahila Court, Karur**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders:

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6181 of 2026

against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.03.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judge, Additional Mahila Court, Karur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Karur District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6181 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6181 of 2026

Date : 30.03.2026