



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6194 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6194 of 2026

V.Muniappan

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Vadamadurai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.174 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Prithviraj
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 174 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS 2023 and 21(4) of MMDR Act, in Crime No.174 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6194 of 2026

seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 24.03.2026, the accused person along with other accused illegally transported 3 units of sand using their vehicle bearing registration No.TN 16 D 5775 and TN 37 BH 4097. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner. Co-accused in this case were arrested and released on bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that the petitioner has two previous cases, but not similar in nature. He also submits that the main accused, namely A1, in this case was arrested and but still in custody. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6194 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and though the petitioner has two previous cases, they are not similar in nature, and also he was released on bail in all those cases, and considering the facts that the main accused, namely A1, was arrested, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vedasandur, Dindigul District and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders:

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6194 of 2026

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.03.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Veda sandur, Dindigul District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Vadamadurai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.174 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6194 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6194 of 2026

Date : 30.03.2026