



CRL OP(MD). No. 6055 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6055 of 2026

K.Pandiyaraj ...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Gandamanur Police Station
Theni District.
(Crime No. 166 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner :Mr.C.Susikumar
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 166
of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6055 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS, 2023, and Section 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.166 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 22.03.2026, based on the secret information, the defacto complainant/police officials went to Gandamanur to Thekkampatti Mangammal Road, at that time, he found that the accused had illegally transported half unit of Odai sand by using Mahindra Tractor bearing Registration No.TN 632 B-6945. Hence, the case.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6055 of 2026

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that investigation is pending and the petitioner has no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the quantity of the materials involved in this case, and also



CRL OP(MD). No. 6055 of 2026

considering the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aundipatti, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6055 of 2026

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in



CRL OP(MD). No. 6055 of 2026

P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR
WEB COPY SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter
absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)

27.03.2026

vsg

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Aundipatti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Gandamanur Police Station
Theni District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6055 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 6055 of 2026

Date : 27.03.2026