



CRL OP(MD). No. 6044 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6044 of 2026

P.Kallathiyan @ Raj

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Tirunelveli Taluk Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No. 846 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner :Mr.M.Perumal
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 846
of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6044 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.846 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are husband and wife. Due to matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, the petitioner and his family members attacked her with hands and the petitioner strangled the neck of her and abused her in filthy language and also threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, the case.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6044 of 2026

WEB COPY 6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and there was a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, though the petitioner has 9 previous cases, in all cases, he was granted bail and also considering the fact that the injured sustained only simple injury and she was discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila



CRL OP(MD). No. 6044 of 2026

WEB COPY

Court, Tirunelveli, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if



CRL OP(MD). No. 6044 of 2026

WEB COPY

the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)

27.03.2026

vsg

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila Court, Tirunelveli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Tirunelveli Taluk Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

6/8



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6044 of 2026



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6044 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 6044 of 2026

Date : 27.03.2026