



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6036 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 08.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6036 of 2026

K.V.Mohammed Sahad

... Petitioner

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(in Crime No.55 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.V.K.Hamzath Ali
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

For Intervenor : Ms.S.Ragaventhree

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.55 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) of BNS, 2023, and Section 66(D) of Information Technology Act, in Crime No.55 of 2025, on



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6036 of 2026

the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that petitioner and the defacto complainant are friends and they are running a School. By this time, the petitioner introduced the victim to do the online business. Initially though the victim got profit by doing the business as told by the accused, later they are unable to withdraw the deposited amount, thereby, the petitioner along with other accused persons, cheated the victim. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not involved in any offence as alleged in the FIR. Though the case was registered on 27.12.2025, the petitioner was arrested on 11.02.2026. The present case is foisted in collusion of the investigating officers. Originally the petitioner has no direct or indirect nexus with the defacto complainant. Co-accused in this case has been released on bail. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that the offences are grave in nature. The petitioner has cheated the victim to the tune of Rs.97,00,000/-. Totally there are three accused in this case. The



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6036 of 2026

petitioner has a vital role in this case. The petitioner belongs to Kerala and he was arrested only on 11.02.2026. Investigation is still pending and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. However, he has one previous case. A1 in this case was arrested and released on bail by the Sessions Court.

5.The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor would submit that there is another case is pending in CCB Police Station, Chennai in Crime No.16 of 2026 to the tune of Rs.77,00,000/-. By believing the words of the petitioner the defacto complainant invested money by obtaining loan from his friends and by pledging the jewels of his wife. He has also invested money in the name of his friends. Totally Rs.97,00,000/- have been cheated by the petitioner. Hence, she vehemently, opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of offence, and alleged occurrence took place on 11.11.2025, for that the case was registered on 27.12.2025 and the petitioner



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6036 of 2026

was arrested on 11.02.2026, that already the main accused namely A1 was arrested and released on bail, though the petitioner has one previous case, in which, he was also released on bail, and also considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner from 11.02.2026, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily, at 10.30 a.m., and 05.00 p.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6036 of 2026

Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
06.04.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
- 3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6036 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6036 of 2026

Date : 08.04.2026