



CRL OP(MD). No. 6053 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6053 of 2026

Suriya

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Moolaikaraipatti Police Station,
Tirunelveli.
(Crime No. 100 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kasirajan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 100 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No. 6053 of 2026

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 191(3), 329(4), 232, 296(b), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and 4 of TNPWH Act and 3 of TNPPDL Act in Crime No. 100 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to civil dispute, the petitioner and other accused trespassed into the petitioner's house and caused damage and also attacked the defacto complainant. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that based on the confession of the co-accused, the petitioner has been arrayed as accused and A1 and A5 were released on bail by this Court. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.02.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6053 of 2026

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner has been arrayed as A3 and due to civil dispute, the occurrence happened and the injured discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that the petitioner has 23 previous cases and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the facts that the injured was discharged from hospital and there is a civil dispute is pending between the parties and based on the confession of the co-accused, the petitioner has been arrayed as accused and also co-accused were released on bail and though the petitioner has some previous cases, in all cases the petitioner was granted bail and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No. 6053 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall stay at Dindigul and report before the Inspector of Police, Dindigul Town Police Station, Dindigul, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



CRL OP(MD). No. 6053 of 2026

WEB COPY

learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
27.03.2026

apd
To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Moolaikaraipatti Police Station,
Tirunelveli.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town Police Station,
Dindigul.
4. The Superintendent, Central Jail, Tirunelveli.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6053 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6053 of 2026

Date : 27.03.2026