



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **25.02.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **DR.JUSTICE G. JAYACHANDRAN**
AND
THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

W.M.P.(MD)No.9887 of 2025
in
REV.APLW.(MD)SR No.29752 of 2025

1.P.Raju @ Rasu

2.Malairaju

3.Krishnamoorthi

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

2.The District Revenue Officer,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer/
Monitoring committee,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

4.The Tahsildar,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.



5.The Special Thasildar,
Adi Dravidar Welfare Department,
Ramanathapuram.

6.The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

7.Palani @ Palanisamy

8.Karuppaiyah

9.Karthikairaj

10.Suresh

11.Kalidoss

12.Karuppaiyah

13.Palusamy

14.C.Chelladurai

...Respondents

PRAYER in C.M.P.(MD)No.9887 of 2025:- Miscellaneous Petition – filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act to condone the delay of 154 days in filing the review application as against the order passed in W.P. (MD)No.23322 of 2024 dated 30.09.2024 on the file of this Court.

PRAYER in REV.APLC.(MD)No.SR 29752 of 2025:- Review Application – filed under Section 114 of CPC r/w Order XLVII, Rule 1 of CPC, to review and recall the order dated 30.09.2024 passed in W.P. (MD)No.23322 of 2024 and grant an opportunity to the petitioners to present their case with relevant documents proving their lawful ownership and possession.



For Petitioners : Mr.V.Rajiv Rufus
For Respondents : Mr.S.R.A.Ramachandran (R1 to R5)
Additional Government Pleader
Mr.K.Gnanasekaran (R6)
Government Advocate

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN, J.**)

This petition is filed seeking to condone the delay of 154 days in filing the review application.

2.The present application to condone the delay in filing the review application deserves to be dismissed in view of the action taken against the encroachers and removal of alleged encroachments on 17.11.2025.

3.The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not heard, when the writ petition was disposed of by this Court, does not appear to be impressive, since he is a party respondent to the writ proceedings.



WEB COPY

4. In view of the above, this Civil Miscellaneous Petition to condone the delay of 154 days in filing the review application is dismissed. Consequently, the review application is rejected at SR stage itself.

[G.J., J.] & [L.V.G., J.]
25.02.2026

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
ta

To

1. The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer/
Monitoring committee,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
4. The Tahsildar,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
5. The Special Thasildar,
Adi Dravidar Welfare Department,
Ramanathapuram.



6. The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.



WEB COPY



DR.G. JAYACHANDRAN, J.
AND
L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

ta

W.M.P.(MD)No.9887 of 2025
in
REV.APLW.(MD)SR No.29752 of 2025

25.02.2026