



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.04.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR

W.P.(MD)No.8724 of 2026

and

W.M.P(MD)Nos.7032 & 7035 of 2026

M.Shanmugam

.. Petitioner

- Vs. -

1. The District Collector,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.

2. The Assistant Director of Panchayat,
O/o.Assistant Director of Panchayat,
Collectorate Complex,
Pudukkottai.

3. The Block Development Officer,
Panchayat Union Office,
Aranthangi.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS calling for the records pertaining to the impugned technical bids of the petitioner in respect of 3rd Respondent E.Tender Notification vide his proceedings in Na.Ka.No. 331/2026/A3 dated 12.3.2026 and quash the same as illegal and to direct the 3rd Respondent to conduct E-Tender as per Tamil Nadu Transparency in Tenders Act.

For Petitioner

: Mr.J.John

For Respondents

: Mr.D.Sadiq Raja

Additional Government Pleader for R1 & R2



WEB COPY

: Mr.R.Ragavendran
Government Advocate(Crl. Side) for R3

ORDER

The petitioner challenges the rejection of technical bid of the petitioner by the third respondent. The third respondent issued a tender notification inviting bids for renovation of Panchayat office building namely, Subramaniyapuram, Mannakudi and Narpavalakudi Panchayat office buildings. The petitioner claiming to be eligible and qualified submitted a bid on 04.03.2026. The technical bid of the petitioner was opened on 06.03.2026 and it was found that the petitioner had not uploaded the experience certificate and latest GST certificate as mandated in the conditions of tender document. Therefore essential documents have not been uploaded technical bid of the petitioner was rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently contended that the said documents were uploaded along with the bid on 04.03.2026.

3. Learned Government Advocate for the 3rd respondent has placed on record the bid submitted by the petitioner which reveals that the petitioner has not uploaded the latest GST certificate and experience certificate and therefore the third respondent has rightly rejected the technical bids of the petitioner for



not having uploaded the said documents which are essential documents.

Learned Government Advocate for the 3rd respondent also submitted that pursuant to the tender notification, work orders had been issued to the successful bidders and no useful purpose will be served if the technical bids of the petitioner is accepted at this stage.

4. In view of the above, I do not find any illegality in the rejection of the technical bid of the petitioner. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No Costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

08.04.2026

Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No

PJL

To

1. The District Collector,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.
2. The Assistant Director of Panchayat,
O/o.Assistant Director of Panchayat,
Collectorate Complex, Pudukkottai.
3. The Block Development Officer,
Panchayat Union Office,
Aranthangi.



WEB COPY



HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.

PJL

W.P.(MD)No.8724 of 2026

08.04.2026