



CRL OP(MD). No. 6037 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6037 of 2026

Sinan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sellur Police Station
Madurai City
Madurai.
(Crime No. 32 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Balamuruganantham
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

For Intervenor : M/s.P.Krishnaveni

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 32 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6037 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 316, 318(4) of BNSS, 2023 in Crime No. 32 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are business friends and on 06.01.2026, the petitioner took the defacto complainant's car along with some money. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is the unnamed accused and he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.02.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor would submit that the car and some money kept inside the car were taken away by the petitioner



CRL OP(MD). No. 6037 of 2026

and the said car alone recovered. Hence, he opposed to grant bail.

WEB COPY

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that due to business motive, the occurrence happened and the car was recovered and the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that there is a dispute between the parties and the petitioner is an unnamed accused and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released



CRL OP(MD). No. 6037 of 2026

WEB COPY

on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate -II, Madurai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



CRL OP(MD). No. 6037 of 2026

WEB COPY

appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
27.03.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate -II, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Sellur Police Station
Madurai City
Madurai.
3. The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Thirumangalam, Madurai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6037 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6037 of 2026

Date : 27.03.2026