



CRL OP(MD). No. 6038 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6038 of 2026

Arman Shalih

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kadayanallur Police Station
Tirunelveli.
(Crime No. 59 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vignesh Shunmugam
for M/s.Ajmal Associates

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 59 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6038 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 304 of BNS in Crime No. 59 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner snatched the gold chain of the defacto complainant. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.02.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the property was recovered and the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail



CRL OP(MD). No. 6038 of 2026

to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that the property was recovered and the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;



CRL OP(MD). No. 6038 of 2026

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No. 6038 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can

WEB COPY be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
27.03.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kadayanallur Police Station
Tirunelveli.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6038 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6038 of 2026

Date : 27.03.2026