



CRL OP(MD). No. 5941 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD) . No. 5941 of 2026

1.Mathiyalagan

2.Senthilkumar

3.Durgavel Kumar

..Petitioners/A1 to A3

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Saminathapuram Police Station
Saminathapuram,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.54 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners:Mr.T.Ramasamy
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.54 of
2026 on the file of the respondent police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD). No. 5941 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 296(b) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, r/w Section 4 of TNPWH, in Crime No.54 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also attacked him and criminally intimidated her with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this



CRL OP(MD). No. 5941 of 2026

case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that the petitioners have no previous cases and injured person has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, as investigation is pending.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and no previous case is pending against the petitioners, and also considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined



CRL OP(MD). No. 5941 of 2026

to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners,

subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani, Dindigul District, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond



CRL OP(MD). No. 5941 of 2026

either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)



CRL OP(MD). No. 5941 of 2026

26.03.2026

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Saminathapuram Police Station
Saminathapuram,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 5941 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 5941 of 2026

Date : 26.03.2026