



HCP(MD). No.409 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 10/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN

HCP(MD). No.409 of 2026

Meenal


... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. By, The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Exercise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai..
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
O/o. District Collector and District Magistrate,
Sivagangai District..
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison,
Madurai..

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the entire records, connected with the detention order of the respondent No.2 in Cr.M.P.No.



HCP(MD). No.409 of 2026

115/D.O./2025 dated 15.12.2025 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu by name Rajasekar, S/o.Kamalanathan, aged about 34 years, now detained as “Drug Offender” at Madurai Central Prison before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Dr.R.Alagumani,
For Respondents : Mr.G.Karuppasamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.)

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Rajasekar, S/o.Kamalanathan, aged 34 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Cr.M.P.No.115/D.O./2025 dated 15.12.2025 holding him to be a "Drug Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(e) of the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD). No.409 of 2026

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is no adverse case against the detainee. The ground case involved 2.8 kgs of ganja, which is also an intermediate quantify for which, FIR was registered in Crime No.265 of 2025.

4. It was contended that in the ground case, intermediate quantity was involved, whereas the Detaining Authority in a casual manner has passed the detention order in a case where such an order is not warranted.

5. We are of the view that in the ground case, the quantity that is alleged to have been seized is only an intermediate quantity. Hence, it is not a serious offence under the NDPS Act, since it does not involve any commercial quantity, warranting passing of a detention order and the detenu could have been dealt with under regular penal law that is already available and there was no need for resorting to detention order under Act 14 of 1982.



HCP(MD). No.409 of 2026

6. For this purpose, we rely on the judgment in ***Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu (2011 (5) SCC 244)***.

7. The another ground that was raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the detenu was arrested on 19.11.2025, whereas, the detention order was passed only on 15.12.2025. Hence, there is a delay of 27 days in passing the detention order and this delay is totally unexplained.

8. In our considered view, the issue is squarely covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura and Others reported in 2022 SCC Online SC 1333***.

9. The detention order is vitiated due to the fact that there was a solitary case and the live and proximate link between the grounds of detention and the purpose of the detention is completely absent.



HCP(MD). No.409 of 2026

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Cr.M.P.No.115/D.O./2025 dated 15.12.2025 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Rajasekar, S/o.Kamalanathan, aged 34 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(N.A.V.,J..) (K.K.R.K.,J.)
10.06.2026

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

tta
To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Exercise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai..
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
O/o. District Collector and District Magistrate,
Sivagangai District..
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison,
Madurai..
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



HCP(MD). No.409 of 2026

**N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.
AND
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,J.**

tta

**ORDER
IN
HCP(MD) No.409 of 2026**

Date : 10/06/2026