



CRL OP(MD). No. 5966 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 5966 of 2026

1.Pathamuthu
2.Rengasamy

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruchitrambalam Police Station
Thanjavur.
(Crime No. 100 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Dr.R.Murugesan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 100 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 5966 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, r/w Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No. 100 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were in illegal possession of $\frac{1}{4}$ unit of river sand. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that the petitioners were in illegal possession of $\frac{1}{4}$ unit of river sand. He



CRL OP(MD). No. 5966 of 2026

further submits that the petitioners have no previous case. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and the quantity involved in this case and also considering the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Peravurani, Thanjavur, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, on alternative Saturday at 10.30 a.m.



CRL OP(MD). No. 5966 of 2026

WEB COPY

for a period of one month, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
26.03.2026

apd



CRL OP(MD). No. 5966 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Peravurani, Thanjavur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruchitrambalam Police Station
Thanjavur.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 5966 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 5966 of 2026

Date : 26.03.2026