



CRL OP(MD). No. 6020 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6020 of 2026

K.M.Syed Abdul Khader

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Melapalayam Police Station
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.297 of 2024)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner :Mr.S.Suresh Manickam
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.297 of
2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6020 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

When the matter was taken up for hearing today, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that this Court had already granted anticipatory bail to the petitioner on 06.02.2025, and thereafter, the petitioner failed to comply with the conditions imposed by this Court. Thereafter, the petitioner filed an application for relaxation in Crl.M.P. (MD) No. 12911 of 2025, which was dismissed on 04.12.2025. Subsequently, the petitioner again approached this Court by filing an anticipatory bail application in Crl.O.P. (MD) No. 5159 of 2026, which was also dismissed on 11.03.2026, with a further direction to the respondent police to secure the petitioner. Despite the same, the petitioner has once again filed the present application. Hence, this petition is not

2/5



CRL OP(MD). No. 6020 of 2026

maintainable and is liable to be dismissed.

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel on either sides and perused the materials available on records.

3. This is the third anticipatory bail application. Earlier, this Court had granted anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, the petitioner failed to comply with the conditions imposed by this Court. Thereafter, he filed an application for relaxation, which was also dismissed. Subsequently, the petitioner filed a second anticipatory bail application and the same was also dismissed. Since the earlier anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner has not been cancelled in the manner known to law, the present application is not maintainable and the previous order dated 06.02.2025 is still in force. Once the petitioner did not comply the conditions, it is the duty of the respondent



CRL OP(MD). No. 6020 of 2026

police to file an appropriate application for cancellation of the bail order. However, no application filed to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner and no steps have been taken so far to secure the accused. Hence, the present application filed by the petitioner is not maintainable, as this Court has already granted anticipatory bail to him.

4. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)

26.03.2026

vsg

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Melapalayam Police Station
Tirunelveli District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6020 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 6020 of 2026

Date : 26.03.2026