



CRL OP(MD). No.5818 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25/03/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.5818 of 2026

1. Kannan,
S/o.Sonaimuthu,
2. Sanjay,
S/o.Murugesan,

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
Inspector of Police,
Sivagangai Taluk Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
Crime No.89/2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Yogeswaran,
For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.89/2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.5818 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(B), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No. 89 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 10.03.2026, due to quarrel between the defacto complainant and the petitioners, the petitioners abused the him in filthy language and also attacked him with deadly weapons and also caused injuries to him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is



CRL OP(MD). No.5818 of 2026

pending against the petitioners. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and the injured was discharged from the hospital and also the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sivagangai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of



CRL OP(MD). No.5818 of 2026

WEB COPY

**four weeks and thereafter as and when required for the
interrogation.**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
25.03.2026

TTA

4/6



CRL OP(MD). No.5818 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

1. Inspector of Police,
Sivagangai Taluk Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.5818 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

TTA

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5818 of 2026

Date : 25/03/2026