



CRL OP(MD). No. 5841 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 5841 of 2026

- 1.Lalitha
- 2.Bala Sangeetha Mani
- 3.Kathieresan
- 4.Sasikumar
- 5.Sudha

..Petitioners/A2 to A6

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Shanarpatti Police Station
Dindigul District.
(Crime No. 9 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners:Mr.P.Sathish Kumar
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 9 of
2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 5841 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A2 to A6, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 296(b), 88, 351(2) and 115(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.9 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the first accused and the defacto complainant were known to each other and were in a relationship. The first accused, on the false promise of marriage and by threatening the defacto complainant, had sexual intercourse with her. When the defacto complainant insisted on marriage, the first accused refused to marry her. Further, the family members of the first accused instigated him to marry another woman and also



CRL OP(MD). No. 5841 of 2026

criminally intimidated the defacto complainant.

Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the main allegation against A1 only and they are close relative of A1 only. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that the petitioners have no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No. 5841 of 2026

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and also considering the fact that no previous cases pending against the petitioners and main allegation as only against A1 and the petitioners are close relatives of A1 only, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30



CRL OP(MD). No. 5841 of 2026

WEB COPY

a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR



CRL OP(MD). No. 5841 of 2026

SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)

25.03.2026

vsg

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Shanarpatti Police Station
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 5841 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 5841 of 2026

Date : 25.03.2026