



CRL OP(MD). No. 5754 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 5754 of 2026

1.S.Sakthivel

2.S.Harish

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kandavarayanpatti Police Station,
Sivagangai.
(Crime No. 20 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Ramanathan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 20 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 5754 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the friend of the first petitioner's brother and due to property dispute between the petitioner and his brother, the petitioners abused him and assaulted him with weapons and also intimidated him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No. 5754 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that due to property dispute, the said occurrence happened and the injured was treated as an outpatient. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and the relationship between the parties and also considering the fact that the injured was treated as an outpatient, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppathur, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 5754 of 2026

respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.03.2026

apd

4/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 5754 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppathur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kandavarayanpatti Police Station,
Sivagangai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 5754 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 5754 of 2026

Date : 24.03.2026