



CRL OP(MD). No. 5731 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 5731 of 2026

Susairaj

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Elanjambur Police Station
Ramanathapuram.
(Crime No. 19 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.D.S.Haroon Rasheed
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 19 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 5731 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under **Sections 296, 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of IPC** on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours. On 03.03.2026, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and criminally intimidated him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that this is a case and case in counter and the petitioner is also injured and still he is taking treatment in the hospital. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 5731 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that this is a case and case in counter and nobody was injured in this case and the petitioner has no previous case. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the fact that nobody was injured in this case and the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the fact that this is a case and case in counter and this petitioner sustained injury, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur, and on further conditions that:



[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.00 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No. 5731 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be

WEB COPY registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.03.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Elanjambur Police Station
Ramanathapuram.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 5731 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 5731 of 2026

Date : 24.03.2026