



W.P.(MD)No.7876 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P(MD).No.7876 of 2026
and WMP(MD).No.6469 of 2026

Lakshmiammal @ Radhalakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
Collectorate,
Madurai District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Office of Revenue Divisional Officer
Thiyagarajar Mill Opposite
Kappalur, Thirumangalam Taluk
Madurai District.
3. The Tahsildar
Kallikudi Taluk
Madurai District.
4. The Firka Surveyor
Tahsildar Office
Kallikudi Taluk
Madurai District.



W.P.(MD)No.7876 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. The Village Administrative Officer
Sengapadai
Kallikudi Taluk
Madurai District.

6. Parasuraman

7. Jeyachitra ... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 3rd respondent to conduct an enquiry and dispose the petitioner's representation, dated 14.03.2026 in accordance with law, within the time limit as fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.K.Samy

For Respondents : Mr.D.Gandhiraj
Special Government Pleader
(For R1 to R5)

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the 3rd respondent to conduct an enquiry and dispose of the petitioner's representation, dated 14.03.2026 in accordance with law, within the time limit as fixed by this Court.



W.P.(MD)No.7876 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that Survey No. 205/11A, measuring an extent of 2.33 ares, is jointly owned by the petitioner along with 30 others, and a joint patta has been issued in Patta No.3335. While so, the 6th respondent who is one of the co-owner among 30 people executed a sale deed in Document No.617 of 2026 in favour of the 7th respondent for an extent of 4 cents. It is further submitted that the 6th respondent had earlier filed WP(MD) No.30777 of 2025 before this Court, which was disposed of on 02.12.2025. The learned counsel contended that the property remains undivided under a joint patta, and even if subdivided, each co-sharer would be entitled to only about 80 square feet. In such circumstances, the sale of 4 cents by the 6th respondent adversely affects the petitioner's rights. Hence, the petitioner seeks appropriate orders for consideration of the same.

3. Heard the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 to 5.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court directs the respondents 1 to 5 are directed to restore the joint Patta and cancel the



W.P.(MD)No.7876 of 2026

WEB COPY

individual Patta issued in the name of the 6th and 7th respondent. The parties are at liberty to approach the competent civil court to establish their rights and thereafter the revenue authorities shall subdivide the property as per the judgment.

5. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

25.03.2026

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
NCC : Yes / No
msrm

To

1. The District Collector
Collectorate,
Madurai District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Office of Revenue Divisional Officer
Thiyagarajar Mill Opposite
Kappalur, Thirumangalam Taluk
Madurai District.



W.P.(MD)No.7876 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The Tahsildar
Kallikudi Taluk
Madurai District.
4. The Firka Surveyor
Tahsildar Office
Kallikudi Taluk
Madurai District.
5. The Village Administrative Officer
Sengapadai
Kallikudi Taluk
Madurai District.
6. The Special Government Pleader,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.7876 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J

msrm

**Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.7876 of 2026**

25.03.2026