



CRL OP(MD). No.5711 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27/03/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.5711 of 2026

Sugapathi,
S/o.Perumal,

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul District.
Crime No.8/2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Geetha C,
For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For Intervenor : Mr.M.Jagadeesh Pandian

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.8/2026 on the file of the
respondent Police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.5711 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 316, 336(3), 340(2) and Section 351(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.8 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with the other accused persons is alleged to have created a forged firm in the name and style of “Senthura Traders” and to have applied for a business loan to the tune of Rs. 3,40,00,000/-. The defacto complainant has also alleged that at the time of processing the loan application, the accused persons obtained blank signed cheques, title documents and signed blank papers from her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.5711 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that there is a dispute between the parties with regard to the loan transaction and also submitted that part of the amount has already been paid and no previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor submitted that Without the knowledge of the defacto complainant, the petitioner had obtained a loan in her name, involving a huge amount in this case. Hence, he vehemently opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and considering the fact that the dispute between the parties with regard to the money transaction and part of the amount has already been paid and the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on



CRL OP(MD). No.5711 of 2026

anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-
(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the
satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul and on
further conditions that:

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent
police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of
similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during
investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or
witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the
learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take
appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with
law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner
released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself
as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.



CRL OP(MD). No.5711 of 2026

State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
27.03.2026

TTA

TO

1. Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.5711 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

TTA

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5711 of 2026

Date : 27/03/2026