



CRL OP(MD). No. 5641 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 5641 of 2026

Murugan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Devathanapatti Police Station,
Theni.
(Crime No. 157 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Muniyandi
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 157 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 5641 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 296(b), 326(f), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 157 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to the dispute between one Stephen and Sudhakar, who is the owner of the hotel where the defacto complainant is working, the petitioner and along with other accuses went to bar, created dispute and attacked the defacto complainant by using deadly weapon. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits



CRL OP(MD). No. 5641 of 2026

that due to previous motive between the defacto complainant and the petitioners, the occurrence happened and injured was discharged from the hospital and A2 and A3 are still in custody and also the petitioner has no previous case. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that the injured was discharged from the hospital, and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam, Theni, and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD). No. 5641 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent

police, daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.03.2026

apd

4/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 5641 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam, Theni.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Devadanapatti Police Station,
Theni.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 5641 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 5641 of 2026

Date : 30.03.2026