



CRL OP(MD). No.5624 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 10/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.5624 of 2026

Ajay

... Petitioner/ Accused No.7

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
Annanagar Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No. 96 of 2026).

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 96 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : M/s. R. Ganesan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to



CRL OP(MD), No.5624 of 2026

judicial custody on 16.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 127(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1), 109 of BNS @ 127(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1) & 103(1) of BNS, in Crime No.96 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant is the wife of the deceased. The deceased was running a business in the name of 'Thai Store' at Andalpuram and also indulged in a broker business and car hiring etc., In such circumstances, the deceased along with his friends has hired a car from A4 and subsequently, it was mortgaged by the deceased with A1. On knowing the same, the owner of the vehicle, A4 and his friends called the deceased and his friends for compromise talk on 11.02.2026 and at that time, there was some wordy altercation between them. At that time, the petitioner and the other accused are said to have brutally attacked the deceased with a wooden log and their hands and caused multiple injuries all over the body. Immediately, he was taken to the Muthukulathur Government Hospital, and thereafter, shifted to Ramanathapuram Government Hospital for further treatment on 13.02.2026 and in the hospital, he died on 18.02.2026. Hence, the



CRL OP(MD), No.5624 of 2026

respondent police registered a case against the accused for the aforesaid offences and arrested the petitioner. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the alleged attack was only made by A1, A2 & A3, and he went to the scene of occurrence only to accompany A6 as his friend and he has no previous case and he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 16.02.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that there are totally 12 accused are involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A7. A4 is the owner of the car. The deceased hired a car from A4 and subsequently, mortgaged the same with A1 without the knowledge of A4. In that dispute, the accused are brutally attacked the deceased and caused death to him and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner. However, he fairly conceded that



CRL OP(MD), No.5624 of 2026

already the investigation was completed and final report was filed before the concerned Court and no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and already the investigation was completed and final report was filed before the concerned Court, and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.VI, Madurai, and on further conditions



CRL OP(MD), No.5624 of 2026

that:

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself



CRL OP(MD), No.5624 of 2026

WEB COPY

as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.
State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
10.04.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.VI,
Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Annanagar Police Station,
Madurai City.
3. The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Madurai District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.5624 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5624 of 2026

Date : 10/04/2026