



CRL OP(MD). No.5629 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD)No.5629 of 2026

1.Bagavathi
2.Muthuvel
3.Siva

... Petitioners / A1, A3 & A4

Versus

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Ambilikkai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.178 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :-Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in Crime No.178 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.J.Vivek

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.5629 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners/accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3) and 329(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and Section 3(1) of the TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.178 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 03.10.2025, due to prior motive, the accused persons allegedly trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and caused damage to some properties worth Rs. 2,00,000/-. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons, they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners are law-abiding citizens and are ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.5629 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the first petitioner has 1 previous case and the second and third petitioners has no previous cases. He further submitted that the material part of the investigation has been completed. Accordingly, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. This Court heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side, the nature of the offence, and taking into account that the material part of the investigation has already been completed, and further considering that, though the first petitioner has one previous case which is not a similar kind of offences and bail has already been granted in the said case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.



CRL OP(MD). No.5629 of 2026

7. Accordingly, this petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Oddanchatram, Dindigul on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.00 a.m. for a period of eight weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from



CRL OP(MD). No.5629 of 2026

WEB COPY

disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or
tamper with the evidence;

(d) the petitioners shall not leave India without the prior
permission of the Court;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during
investigation or trial.

(f) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the
learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate
action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the
conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on
bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid
down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of
Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.

(g) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can
be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

23.03.2026

pal



CRL OP(MD). No.5629 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Oddanchatram,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Ambilikkai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.5629 of 2026

P.DHANABAL,J.

pal

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5629 of 2026

Date : 23.03.2026