



CRL OP(MD). No. 5757 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 5757 of 2026

Kumar

..Petitioner/Defacto complainant

Vs

1.The State of Tamilnadu Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.46 of 2025)

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Latha

3.Kesavan ...2nd & 3rd Respondents/ A2 & A3

For Petitioner:Mr.N.Palaniyandi
Advocate.

For Respondent :Mr.M.Karunanithi(for R1)
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

Mr.C.Gangai Amaran
(for R2 & R3)



CRL OP(MD). No. 5757 of 2026

PRAYER :- This petition is filed under Section 483(3) of BNS r/w 439(2) of Cr.P.C., to cancel the anticipatory bail order in Crl.M.P.No.1229 of 2025, dated 29.04.2025 passed by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

ORDER

The present Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the respondents 2 and 3 by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai, in Crl.M.P.No.1229 of 2025, dated 29.04.2025.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the respondents 2 and 3 have collected a sum of Rs.48 lakhs from the 235 persons and cheated them. After granting anticipatory bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate, the first respondent police has not recovered any money or security from the

2/7



CRL OP(MD). No. 5757 of 2026

respondents 2 and 3. Hence, he seeks cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the respondents 2 and 3.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the respondents 2 and 3 would submit that A1 has already paid a sum of Rs.8 lakhs and the respondents 2 and 3 are cooperated for the enquiry. Hence, he opposes to allow this petition.

4.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

5.It is an admitted fact that the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai, has granted anticipatory bail to respondents 2 and 3. The learned Sessions Judge has recorded reasons for granting bail after taking into consideration the



CRL OP(MD). No. 5757 of 2026

nature of the offences, the pendency of the case in O.S. No. 82 of 2022 on the file of the District Munsif Court, Madurai, the earlier closure of complaints by the DCB, Sivagangai, and the Superintendent of Police, Madurai, as well as the fact that A1 has already paid a sum of Rs.8 lakhs. The learned Sessions Judge has also considered the limited overt acts attributed against the respondents 2 and 3, imposed conditions, and the said conditions have been complied with by them. The grounds raised by the petitioner in the present petition have no merit and therefore, the learned Sessions Judge has passed a reasoned order and no grounds are made out to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondents 2 and 3.

6.In view of the above discussion, this Court does not find any infirmity in the order passed by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai,



CRL OP(MD). No. 5757 of 2026

granting anticipatory bail. Accordingly, there are no grounds to cancel the anticipatory bail already granted to the respondents 2 and 3.

7.In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(P D B J)

22.04.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No. 5757 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The learned Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 5757 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 5757 of 2026

Date : 22.04.2026