



CRL OP(MD). No.5547 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 01/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.5547 of 2026

Baskaran

... Petitioner/ A1

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Rajapalayam South Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.418/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.418/2025 on the file of the
respondent police

For Petitioner : N. Balasubramanian,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.5547 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471 and 120(b) of IPC, in Crime No.148 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant is aged about 72 years and he is suffered by cancer. Believing the words of A2, on 05.01.2024, the complainant executed a power deed in favour of A2 vide D.No.91/2024 and in the month of June, he went to Chennai for treatment. Thereafter, on 18.06.2024, A2 executed a sale deed in favour of A3 with the help A4 & A5 based on the Life Certificate given by the petitioner/A1, who is a retired government doctor. After treatment, he came to native place and approached the Sub Registrar, for cancellation of the above said power of attorney. But the respondent police has not taken any effective steps. Hence, he filed a private complaint before the learned Judicial Magistrate under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C., and as per direction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, the respondent police registered a case against the accused for the aforesaid offences. Hence, this petition.



CRL OP(MD), No.5547 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that while at the time of issuance of life certificate, the defacto complainant came before the petitioner and after verification only he issued the same. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the life certificate given by the petitioner, A2 executed a sale deed in favour of A3 with the help of A4 & A5. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, he fairly conceded that the petitioner has no previous case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner is that he has given a life



CRL OP(MD), No.5547 of 2026

certificate without any verification and without identified the complainant and the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rajapalayam, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.5547 of 2026

witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S;

01.04.2026
1/3

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.5547 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Rajapalayam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Rajapalayam South Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5547 of 2026

Date : 01/04/2026

1/3