



CRL OP(MD). No.5395 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD)No.5395 of 2026

Sudharshan

... Petitioner / Accused
Rank Not Known

Versus

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Kovilpatti West Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.288 of 2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :-Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in Crime No.288 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Prabhu,
for Mr.G.Sarathkumar

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.5395 of 2026

For Intervener : Mr.M.Suresh

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.288 of 2026 for the offence punishable under Sections 191(3), 329(3), 296(b), 118(1), 115(2) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and Section 3 of the TNPPDL Act, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 10.03.2026 at about 06.30 p.m., at the house of the defacto complainant, the accused persons trespassed into the premises, criminally intimidated him by threatening to kill him, caused injuries with deadly weapons, and damaged the electric lights and motorcycles belonging to him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is a law-abiding citizen and is



CRL OP(MD). No.5395 of 2026

ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. He further submitted that there are number of previous cases pending against the petitioner. He also submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he objected to the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the defacto complainant submitted that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he will again threat the defacto complainant. Hence, he vehemently objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. This Court heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials placed on record.



CRL OP(MD). No.5395 of 2026

7. Considering the rival submissions made on either side, the nature of the offence, and taking into account that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, and further considering that, though the petitioner has some previous cases, bail has already been granted in all those cases, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Kovilpatti, Thoothukudi District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



CRL OP(MD). No.5395 of 2026

(b) the petitioner shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Kalugumalai Police Station, Thoothukudi, daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

(c) the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

(d) the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(f) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***.



CRL OP(MD). No.5395 of 2026

(g) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can

WEB COPY be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

23.03.2026
(2/2)

pal

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.II,
Kovilpatti,
Thoothukudi District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kovilpatti West Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Copy to

The Inspector of Police,
Kalugumalai Police Station,
Thoothukudi.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.5395 of 2026

P.DHANABAL,J.

pal

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5395 of 2026

Date : 23.03.2026
(2/2)