



W.P.(MD)No.7326 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P(MD).No.7326 of 2026

T.Yogeshkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai,
Madurai District.

2. The Tahsildhar,
Thiruparankundram Taluk,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the unilateral rejection of online subdivision of patta application by the 2nd respondent dated 14.08.2024 and consequently for a direction directing the respondent No.2 to effect sub division of patta in the name of the petitioner for the lands in Survey No.6/11A Thiruparankundram Taluk, Madurai District within time stipulated by this Court.



W.P.(MD)No.7326 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Dinesh

For Respondents : Mr.D.Gandhiraj
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to call for the records relating to the unilateral rejection of online subdivision of patta application by the 2nd respondent dated 14.08.2024 and consequently for a direction directing the respondent No.2 to effect sub division of patta in the name of the petitioner for the lands in Survey No.6/11A Thiruparankundram Taluk, Madurai District within time stipulated by this Court.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had purchased house site properties in Survey No. 6/11, Thiruparankundram Taluk, Madurai District, by way of a registered sale deed in Document No. 2489 of 2010 dated 22.03.2010, to an extent of 10 cents, and by a settlement deed in Document No. 994 of 2012 dated 01.02.2012, to an extent of 5½ cents. Further, the petitioner, along with another individual, namely Maayan,



W.P.(MD)No.7326 of 2026

WEB COPY

had also purchased lands to an extent of 52½ cents out of the total extent of 75 cents. After such purchase, the petitioner has been in possession and enjoyment of the property. Due to financial constraints, the petitioner intended to avail a loan by mortgaging the aforesaid property with a private bank. In this regard, the bank required the petitioner to produce a patta in his favour after sub-division of the said lands. Accordingly, the petitioner submitted an application to the second respondent seeking sub-division and transfer of patta in his favour and also paid the requisite fee. However, the second respondent rejected the same by the impugned order dated 14.08.2024.

3. Heard the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

4. The rejection order, upon perusal, is found to be a one-line, non-speaking order. Accordingly, the impugned order is quashed. This Court directs the respondents to reconsider the application and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.(MD)No.7326 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. With these directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of . No costs

18.03.2026

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
NCC : Yes / No
msrm

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai,
Madurai District.
2. The Tahsildhar,
Thiruparankundram Taluk,
Madurai District.
Madurai.
3. The Special Government Pleader,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.7326 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY, J

msrm

**Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.7326 of 2026**

18.03.2026