



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5279 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13.03.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE. K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN

CRL.OP.(MD).No.5279 of 2026

- 1.Kodiveeran
- 2.Mena
- 3.Annakalanjiyam
- 4.Malar @ Malarvili
- 5.Kannamal
- 6.Sarathi
- 7.Arivalagan
- 8.Rajeshwari
- 9.Subammal

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
Valandhur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.19 of 2026)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.S.P.Naveenkumar

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER in all cases :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 19 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5279 of 2026

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 115(2), 118(1) of BNS and Section 4 of Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.19 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's daughter one Meena eloped with A3's son one Deva. Hence, there was wordy altercation. Due to which the petitioners said to have assaulted and abused the defacto complainant and his son. Hence, the defacto complainant gave a complaint before the respondent police. On the basis of the complaint, the case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are innocent persons. Hence, he seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent on instructions submitted that the injured in this case has been discharged from the hospital. Due to the elopment of the defacto complainant's



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5279 of 2026

daughter and the A3's son, dispute was arose. A3 in this case has 10 previous cases against her. Out of 10 cases, five cases were disposed of and five cases are pending trial. Hence, he sought dismissal of the petition.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the dispute between the defacto complainant and the petitioners this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions, except A3 namely Annakalanjiyam, who is having 10 previous cases.

6.Accordingly, this criminal original petition is partly allowed and the petitioners 1, 2 & 4 to 9 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners 1, 2 & 4 to 9 shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners 1, 2 & 4 to 9 and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5279 of 2026

[b]the petitioners 1, 2 & 4 to 9 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of fifteen days and thereafter, as and when required.

[c]the petitioners 1, 2 & 4 to 9 shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners 1, 2 & 4 to 9 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial. [e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners 1, 2 & 4 to 9 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners 1, 2 & 4 to 9 are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

7.This criminal original petition is dismissed in respect of Accused No. 3/Petitioner 3 namely Annakalanjiyam.

13.03.2026

TM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5279 of 2026

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Valandhur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5279 of 2026

K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,J

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5279 of 2026

Date : 13.03.2026