



WEB COPY

W.P(MD)No.6866 of 2026



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated: 17.03.2026

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE D.BHARATHA
CHAKRAVARTHY**

W.P(MD)No.6866 of 2026

S.Muthukrishnan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Secretariat, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector,
Madurai District.
Madurai.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Sedappatti Panchayat Union,
Peraiyur Taluk,
Madurai District.

...Respondents

Writ Petitions are filed under article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to disburse the outstanding amount of Rs.16,56,553/- payable to the petitioner for supply of materials for laying the metal road in Sankaralingapuram to Soolapuram Village in Pappinayakkanpatti Village Panchayat Sedappatti Panchayat Union, Madurai District together with interest based on the petitioner's representation dated 21.02.2026 within a time frame fixed by this Court.



For Petitioner :Mr.G.Ramanathan
For Respondents :Mr.D.Sadiq Raja
Additional Government Pleader
For R3 :M/s.K.Malathi,
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition is filed for a mandamus directing the respondents to disburse the outstanding amount of Rs.16,56,553/-.

2.According to the petitioner, the petitioner is engaged in the supply of materials for laying the metal road in Sankaralingapuram to Sulapuram village at Papinayakanpatti Village Panchayat Sedapatti Panchayat Union, Madurai District. The amount due in respect of the supply has not been made until date. The petitioner therefore made a representation on 21.02.2026. Even thereafter there is no payment and therefore, the petitioner is before this Court.

3.When the matter came up for hearing, the learned Additional Government Pleader, taking notice on behalf of the respondents on the basis of the written instructions, would submit that the entire work order in respect of said work was given to one Seeni of Papinayaganpatti village and not to the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner cannot seek any payment due with reference to the said work.



4.The learned counsel for the petitioner along with the writ petition also, no work order, favoring the petitioner, is enclosed. If the petitioner has worked as an agent or as a subcontractor of the said Seeni, the petitioner has to work out his remedy with the said Seeni and even if any amount is due, only the person to whom the contract is given shall make a representation. Accordingly, keeping open the liberty of the petitioner as well as the said contractor to make a representation, this writ petition is disposed of. No Costs.

17.03.2026

NCC:Yes/No

Ns

To

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Secretariat, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector,
Madurai District.
Madurai.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Sedappatti Panchayat Union,
Peraiyur Taluk,
Madurai District.



WEB COPY

W.P(MD)No.6866 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

Ns

W.P(MD)No.6866 of 2026

17.03.2026