



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 10.03.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

AND

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

H.C.P.(MD)No.297 of 2026

J.Jeeva

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.
Virudhunagar.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Srivilliputhur Sub Division,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Krishnan Kovil Police Station,
Virudhunagar.

4. Ramesh

..... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to produce the body or person of the petitioner's wife/detenué namely Selvamuniyammal, D/o.Ramesh, aged 22 years, before this Court and set her at liberty for the following among other facts and circumstances of this case.



For Petitioner : Mr.K.Karansingh
For R1 to R3 : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor
For R4 : Mr.K.Sudalaiyandi

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N.ANAND VENKATESH,J.)

The petitioner, who is the husband of the detinue, has filed this Habeas Corpus Petition for a direction to respondents 1 to 3 to produce the body or person of his wife and to set her at liberty.

2. The case of the petitioner is that he married the detinue on 04.12.2025. Since it was an inter-caste marriage, the parents of the detinue were opposed to the said marriage. On 23.02.2026, after the petitioner had left for his work and returned home, he found that the detinue was missing. Hence, he approached the 3rd respondent and lodged a complaint. Since no effective steps were taken to find the whereabouts of the detinue, the present Habeas Corpus Petition was filed before this Court.



WEB COPY

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing today, the detinue was produced before this Court. She stated that her date of birth is 11.05.2003 and that she is studying B.Com, and even though she married the petitioner, the detinue specifically stated that she is not inclined to go along with the petitioner and that she wants to stay with her parents.

4. In the light of the above statement made by the detinue, we cannot force the detinue to go along with the petitioner. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the same, it is left open to the petitioner to work out his remedy in the manner known to law before the appropriate Court.

5. Hence, this Habeas Corpus Petition is closed with the above said liberty.

(N.A.V.,J..) (P.D.B.,J.)
10.03.2026

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

Indu



WEB COPY

- To
1. The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.
Virudhunagar.
 2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Srivilliputhur Sub Division,
Virudhunagar District.
 3. The Inspector of Police,
Krishnan Kovil Police Station,
Virudhunagar.
 4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



**N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.
AND
P.DHANABAL,J.**

Indu

H.C.P.(MD)No.297 of 2026

10.03.2026