



CRL OP(MD). No.5611 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24/03/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.5611 of 2026

A S Abul Hassain,

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

1. State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Karur Police Station, (L and O),
Karur District..

2. Agilandeswari,,
W/o. Ramesh Kumar,

... Respondents/Complainants

For Petitioner : Mr.Harishkumar
For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 559 of 2025 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.5611 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 316(1), 318(4), 351(2) of BNS in Crime No. 559 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that “The defacto complainant, who was previously employed in the petitioner’s college, was approached by students of the 2019–2022 batch stating that they had not received their third-year certificates. The defacto complainant clarified that she had already resigned from her position at the college. Thereafter, the students preferred a complaint before the District Collector. The petitioner, however, accused the defacto complainant and her husband of instigating the students and held them responsible for the said complaint. On 06.07.2025, the petitioner circulated photographs of the defacto complainant and her husband in the media, thereby defaming them. Hence, the present case has been registered.



CRL OP(MD). No.5611 of 2026

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit this Court has granted anticipatory bail to the co-accused. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that A2 was granted anticipatory bail by this Court and the petitioner has no previous cases. He further submitted that the investigation has been completed and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and FIR has been registered in the month of July 2025, so far no steps have been taken to secure the



CRL OP(MD). No.5611 of 2026

accused and the investigation has been completed and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Karur, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No.5611 of 2026

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
24.03.2026

TTA

TO

1. Inspector of Police,
Karur Police Station, (L and O),
Karur District..
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.5611 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

TTA

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5611 of 2026

Date : 24/03/2026