



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4907 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06.03.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE. K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN

CRL.OP.(MD).No.4907 of 2026

1.Munusamy

2.Abhirami

3.S.Tharmalingam

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,

Represented by the Inspector of Police,

Eriyodu Police Station,

Dindigul District.

(Crime No.54 of 2026)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Lenin

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER in all cases :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 54 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) of BNS and Section



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4907 of 2026

4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.54 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail. Though the petition has been filed in crime number not known stage, subsequently, FIR has been registered in Crime No.54 of 2026.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to civil dispute the 1st petitioner has filed suit in O.S.No.32 of 2025. Therefore, on the date of occurrence on 27.02.2026 there is wordy quarrel arose between the parties. In which, the petitioners said to have assaulted the accused by abusing the defacto complainant. In which, the defacto complainant sustained injuries and admitted in the hospital. Hence, the case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are innocent persons. There is a civil dispute between the parties. Injured in this case been discharged from the hospital. Petitioners also sustained injuries and still in hospital. Hence, he seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent on instructions opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. There is no previous case pending against the petitioners. Both



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4907 of 2026

parties sustained injuries. There is case in counter case has been registered. A3 was not accused in this case.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, there is a civil dispute between the parties, there is a case in counter case and injured has been discharged from the hospital and A3, who is the 3rd petitioner, is not accused in this case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners 1 and 2 with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, this criminal original petition is allowed and the petitioners 1 and 2 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners 1 and 2 shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Gujiliambarai, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners 1 and 2 and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the respondent police, as



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.4907 of 2026

and when required for interrogation.

WEB COPY

[c]the petitioners 1 and 2 shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners 1 and 2 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners 1 and 2 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners 1 and 2 are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

7.Since the accused No.3/petitioner No.3 is not arrayed as accused in this case, this petition stands dismissed as against the petitioner No.3.

06.03.2026

TM

To

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Gujiliambarai.

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4907 of 2026

2.The Inspector of Police,
Eriyodu Police Station,
Dindigul District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4907 of 2026

K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,J

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.4907 of 2026

Date : 06.03.2026