



Crl.OP(MD)No.4857 of 2026

K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

WEB COPY

Today, this case is listed under the caption “for being mentioned”.

2. It is brought to the notice of this Court that bail has been granted to the petitioner by order dated 09.03.2026. In the cause title, the District has been erroneously mentioned as “Tenkasi District” instead of “Theni District”.

3. Accordingly, the respondent’s address in the cause title shall be corrected and read as follows:—

***The State of Tamilnadu
Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Odaipatti Police Station
Theni District.***

4. Registry is directed to carry-out the aforesaid corrections in the order dated 09.03.2026 in Crl.OP(MD).No.4857 of 2026 and issue fresh order copy. The other portions of the order remain intact.

17.03.2026

sbn

Note: Issue order copy 17.03.2026



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.4857 of 2026



K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN.J,

sbn

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.4857 of 2026

17.03.2026



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.03.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN

CRL OP(MD)No.4857 of 2026

M.Muthuraja @ Muthu

... Petitioner/
Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Odaipatti Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.453 of 2025)

... Respondent/
Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.B.Bhuvaneshvari

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.453 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on



22.01.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115 (2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.453 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to the property dispute, the petitioner and other accused persons had abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences and assaulted him. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 22.01.2026 and the co-accused have already been released on bail. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent, on instructions would submit that there are seven previous cases pending as against the petitioner, out of which, one case is relating to the offence of POCSO Act. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the fact that co-accused have already been released on bail in and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the certain conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Uthamapalayam**, and on further conditions that :-

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on



bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(K K R K J)

09.03.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
ebsi

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court,
Uthamapalayam.
2. The Superintendent,
Thekkampatti jail, Theni.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Odaipatti Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.4857 of 2026



K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

ebsi

Order made in
Crl.O.P(MD)No.4857 of 2026

09.03.2026