



Crl.O.P(MD).No.4523 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: **11.03.2026**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN

CRL OP(MD)No.4523 of 2026

Ponarul

... Petitioner/
Accused No.1

Vs

The Tamil Nadu State,
Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.238 of 2025)

... Respondent/
Complainant

PRAYER :-Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner/Accused No.1 on Anticipatory Bail in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Crime No.238 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Vijaya Prabhakaran

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)



Crl.O.P(MD).No.4523 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Crime No.238 of 2025 for the offence punishable under Section 318 (4) of BNS 2023, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 25.11.2025 at about 19.00 hours, based on the complaint lodged by the de-facto complainant that the petitioner agreed to sell a tractor bearing Registration No.TN-47-BT-1959 for Rs.5,50,000/- and received a sum of Rs.4,50,000/- as advance. It is alleged that the petitioner failed to transfer RC book thereby cheated the defacto complainant. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that there is no record to show that the receipt of the amount and there is no agreement entered between them. Hence, he seeks to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner had cheated the defacto



Crl.O.P(MD).No.4523 of 2026

complainant for a sum of Rs.4,50,000/- and the said tractor has not been given to the defacto complainant. He further specifically argued that the petitioner custodial interrogation is very much required. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court has considered the submissions made on either side.

6. Taking into account of the submission of the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), the petitioner intentionally cheated the defacto complainant and upon receiving a sum of Rs.4,50,000/- the tractor has not been given to the defacto complainant, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(K K R K J)

11.03.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
ebsi

3/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD).No.4523 of 2026

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.
3. The Judicial Magistrate,
Kadaladi.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD).No.4523 of 2026

K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

ebsi

Order made in
Crl.O.P(MD)No.4523 of 2026

11.03.2026