



W.P.(MD) No.9642 of 2026

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.04.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

W.P.(MD) No.9642 of 2026

and

W.M.P.(MD) Nos.7674 & 7675 of 2026

P.Arumugam

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The General Manager
(Administration)
Tamil Nadu Civil Supplies Corporation
TNCSC Head Office
Chennai-600 010

2.The Deputy Collector /
Regional Manager
Tamilnadu Civil Supplies Corporation
Madurai Region
Madurai-625 020

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of certiorari to call for records relating to the impugned order issued by the second respondent vide Se.Mu.Aanai.No.E4/008830/2022, dated 18.12.2024 and quash the same as illegal.



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.9642 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sankar

For Respondents : Mr.G.Mohan Kumar
Standing Counsel

ORDER

Mr.G.Mohan Kumar, learned Standing Counsel, takes notice for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both sides, this writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

4. This writ petition has been filed seeking a writ of certiorari to call for the records relating to the impugned order bearing Se.Mu.Aanai.No.E4/008830/2022, dated 18.12.2024, passed by the second respondent and to quash the same.



W.P.(MD) No.9642 of 2026

WEB COPY

5. The impugned order was passed as early as on 18.12.2024 imposing a punishment of stoppage of increment with cumulative effect against the petitioner and also ordering recovery of Rs.10,09,600/-. It is aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner has approached this Court by filing this writ petition, after a lapse of almost fifteen months.

6. However, during the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the said order dated 18.12.2024 is sought to be given effect only recently and amount is being recovered from the salary of the petitioner and therefore, the petitioner filed an appeal before the first respondent as early as on 12.01.2026 and the same is pending consideration till date.

7. In the light of the fact that the petitioner has already availed the remedy of appeal and also filed an appeal as early as on 12.01.2026 before the first respondent, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition as the petitioner is having the efficacious alternative remedy of appeal, which is already availed by him.



W.P.(MD) No.9642 of 2026

WEB COPY

8. In the light of the above, this writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to pursue the appeal that is filed by him before the first respondent against the impugned proceedings dated 18.12.2024 issued by the second respondent. The first respondent is also directed to consider the said appeal dated 12.01.2026 filed by the petitioner against the impugned proceedings dated 18.12.2024 issued by the second respondent and dispose of the same, after affording an opportunity to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible at any rate within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

08.04.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

krk



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.9642 of 2026

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR, J.

krk

W.P.(MD) No.9642 of 2026
and
W.M.P.(MD) Nos.7674 & 7675 of 2026

08.04.2026

Page 5 of 5